



CALL FOR INPUT

<i>Name of submitter</i>	Omoloro Meshack
<i>Affiliated organization of submitter</i>	Climate Action Platform for Africa (CAP-A)
<i>Email of submitter</i>	Omoloro.Meshack@cap-a.org
<i>Date of submission</i>	22/07/2026

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020)

Draft Methodological tool: Reversal risk assessment

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	-	Paragraph 18-21	The reversal-risk tool's human-induced risk values are still blank, and the panel is asking whether they should differ between countries. Setting them from governance, stability or political-risk proxies would place a heavier deduction on some countries than others without a demonstrated link to whether stored carbon is actually reversed.	Human-induced risk factors should not vary by jurisdiction unless a clear causal link between the factor and actual reversal is demonstrated. Where none is shown, the factor should be uniform. Proposed text: Human-induced risk values shall be uniform across jurisdictions. A country-specific value may be applied only where empirical evidence demonstrates a clear causal link between the jurisdiction-specific factor and the actual likelihood of reversal; governance, stability or political-risk proxies shall not, on their own, be used to differentiate values between countries.