



CALL FOR INPUT

Name of submitter	Elisa Derby
Affiliated organization of submitter	Clean Cooking and Climate Consortium (4)
Email of submitter	ederby@cleancooking.org
Date of submission	24/07/2026

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020)

Draft methodological tool: Sampling and surveys (version 01.0).

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	-	Paragraphs 14 and 37–40; relevant proportion examples	The draft switches between absolute (percentage points) and relative (percent of a percentage) precision for proportions.	Use relative precision for continuous variables (as is the case now), and absolute (percentage points) for proportions.
2	5. Methodological approaches	Paragraph 48–51 and 64–73; Equations 7–15 and 17–20	There may be some errors in the calculations for sample size and clustering. (e.g. is equation 17 double squaring CV?)	We recommend a thorough review of the equations.
3	Appendix 4. Best-practice examples for confidence intervals...	Paragraph 93	There is an error/typo in Paragraph 93 -"to a higher level of conservativeness (e.g., a 90 per cent confidence interval rather than a 95 percent confidence interval)"[	Update to "(e.g. a 95 percent confidence interval rather than a 90% confidence interval)"