



CALL FOR INPUT

<i>Name of submitter</i>	Domenico Vito, Vladislav Malashevskyy
<i>Affiliated organization of submitter</i>	Osservatorio Parigi
<i>Email of submitter</i>	osservatorio.parigi@hubzineitalia.com
<i>Date of submission</i>	15/05/2026

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020) Draft mechanism methodology: Energy efficiency measures in household cooking (version 01.0)				
Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	APPLICABILITY	Paragraph 1	The methodology should explicitly recognize and respect that clean cooking transitions contribute not only to emission reductions but also to climate justice, public health, gender equality, and sustainable development. Integrating these dimensions would strengthen consistency with the Paris Agreement and SDGs	Add text stating: “This methodology shall respect climate justice, equitable energy access, public health co-benefits, and gender-responsive sustainable development outcomes.”
2	BASELINE SCENARIO	Relevant Note on baseline determination	Baseline determination should apply conservative assumptions where fuel stacking exists, in order to avoid systematic over-crediting identified in recent literature.	Add requirement that baseline calculations incorporate conservative default assumptions for mixed fuel use where direct measurement is unavailable.
3	BASELINE SCENARIO	Fuel stacking provisions	The methodology should require explicit accounting of seasonal cooking patterns and cultural cooking practices, which significantly affect household fuel consumption.	Insert requirement for seasonal monitoring periods covering both dry and wet seasons where relevant.
4	APPENDIX 3	Paragraph 17	Pre-activity surveys should include participatory consultation with local communities, women, and Indigenous populations to improve social legitimacy and data quality.	Add paragraph requiring stakeholder-inclusive survey methodologies and culturally appropriate consultation procedures.

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020)**Draft mechanism methodology: Energy efficiency measures in household cooking (version 01.0)**

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
5	APPENDIX 3	3.2 Application of results	The methodology appropriately recognizes space-heating interactions. However, stronger guidance is needed to avoid underestimating non-cooking biomass consumption.	Require explicit documentation of all non-cooking household biomass uses, including heating and water boiling.
6	APPENDIX 3	3.3 Examples of common cookstove types, Paragraphs 22–30	The methodology should recognize regional diversity in traditional cooking systems and avoid overly rigid classifications.	Add provision allowing locally validated stove typologies supported by photographic and community evidence
7	APPENDIX 3	Paragraph 31	Kitchen Performance Tests should include safeguards against observer effects and temporary behavioral changes during monitoring periods.	Require projects to document measures addressing Hawthorne effects and temporary usage distortions.
8	APPENDIX 3	5. Usage survey , Paragraph 32	The definition of “user household” should be strengthened to avoid counting households with only sporadic stove use.	Introduce minimum frequency thresholds for qualifying active use, supported by empirical evidence
9	APPENDIX 3	5. Usage survey, Paragraph 33	SUMs should complement, not replace, community-based monitoring and participatory verification	Add text encouraging integration of local monitoring committees and citizen science approaches
10	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	Section 2.3.1	The transparency provisions for stove-use algorithms are strongly welcomed and represent an important advancement in carbon market integrity	No substantive change; strengthen language by replacing “should” with “shall” where applicable
11	APPENDIX 6	Paragraph 9	Algorithms used for emission accounting should be fully reproducible and independently reviewable.	Require publication under open-source or publicly inspectable licensing frameworks.
12	APPENDIX 6	2.3.5 Data sample publication	Public availability of anonymized datasets significantly improves accountability and scientific transparency.	Add requirement that datasets remain publicly accessible throughout the crediting period and post-crediting review phase.