



CALL FOR INPUT

Name of submitter	Guo Minghua
Affiliated organization of submitter	LeonTest
Email of submitter	guominghua@leontest.com
Date of submission	19/03/2026

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020) A6.4 MEP012-A05: Draft mechanism methodology: N2O abatement from nitric acid production (version 01.0)				
Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	APPLICABILITY	12 (b)	For Type 2 projects, the project scenario does not clarify whether the discontinued abatement equipment/system may be restarted (e.g. by installing new catalyst) or whether the discontinued equipment (e.g. a tertiary abatement system) must be removed and replaced with new abatement equipment.	It is recommended to clarify the specific project scenario conditions for type 2 project by revising the sentence "The Article 6.4 activity restarts secondary N2O abatement and/or any type of tertiary N2O abatement." to "The Article 6.4 activity restarts secondary N2O abatement and/or any type of tertiary N2O abatement by replacing the discontinued abatement with new secondary and/or any type of tertiary N2O abatement."
2	BASELINE EMISSIONS	Footnote 25	In the example calculation, a downward adjustment of 1.26 tCO ₂ e is applied in 2026, and starting in 2027, the annual downward adjustment is increased by 5 t CO ₂ e on 1 January of each year, resulting in a value of 5.26 t CO ₂ e for 2027, 10.26 t CO ₂ e for 2028. These figures are incorrectly calculated. The correct values should be 6.26 tCO ₂ e for 2027 and 11.26 tCO ₂ e for 2028.	It is recommended to revise 5.26 and 10.26 to 6.26 and 11.26, respectively.