



CALL FOR INPUT

<i>Name of submitter</i>	Axel Michaelowa
<i>Affiliated organization of submitter</i>	University of Zurich
<i>Email of submitter</i>	michaelowa@perspectives.cc
<i>Date of submission</i>	20/08/2026

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020)

Draft mechanism methodology: Cooking energy transition

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	3. Normative and informative references	3.3 / 6.2	Given that the methodology is applicable in the urban context where households generally have access to money and therefore can apply investment calculations, additionality demonstration should always be done through an investment comparison analysis as per the standard "Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies". The arguments used to justify application of barrier analysis listed in section 1.2 of Appendix 10 do not hold. If households do not have access to formal financial institutions, the interest rate of informal moneylenders should be applied in the investment comparison analysis.	Option 1 (section 6.2) in the methodology should be deleted.
2	6. Demonstration of additionality	6.3.2, para 50	Apply the investment analysis from the perspective of the cooking solution user, not from the perspectives of the technology producer. Applying the producer's perspective will always lead to additionality as the producer will adjust its cooking technology sales price to the level where the activity is not commercially attractive but will become so due to the revenue from the sale of the A6.4ER..	Delete 50 b). Revise 50 c) as follows: Apply investment comparison analysis from the viewpoint of the user of the cooking solution, based on a comparison of the NPV of different cooking solutions applying sales prices that achieve commercial attractiveness for the producers. Revise the other subparas of para 50 to align them with this approach

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020)**Draft mechanism methodology: Cooking energy transition**

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
3	3. Normative and informative references	44-46	The provisions are important and should be retained (I note the discussion to discard such provision in the methodology "Energy efficiency measures in household cooking")	-