



CALL FOR INPUT

Name of submitter	No Name
Affiliated organization of submitter	NA
Email of submitter	afolu@protonmail.com
Date of submission	19/08/2026

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020) Draft mechanism methodology: Cooking energy transition				
Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	4. Applicability	11 (a)	What is the difference between the pre-activity scenario and the baseline scenario?	Use consistent set of terms in the methodology
2	4. Applicability	Para 11 (a) (iii) and (b) (ii)	Avoid referencing to applicability conditions of other methodology	Project participants should not be expected to read other methodologies than the currently implemented one.
3	5. Activity Boundary	21	It is applicability condition and should moved to the applicability section.	Move to the applicability section.
4	6. Demonstration of additionality	28	Positive conclusion of regulatory analysis is not enough to conclude on additionality of project activity.	Formulate in negative way: The proposed Article 6.4 activity shall no be considered additional if the regulatory analysis is concluded negatively.
5	6. Demonstration of additionality	38	Where any of the conditions in paragraph 34 are not met - Para 34 does not contain any conditions.	Provide correct reference to the relevant para.
6	7. Baseline scenario	74	What is the difference between the pre-activity scenario and and pre-activity situation?	Use consistent set of terms
7	7. Baseline scenario	78	Requirements set in para 78 make the pre-activity survey of para 75 irrelevant. It is cheaper to use data from literature than to conduct a survey which results must meet data provided in published papers.	Decide: survey or published data

Document reference number and title: (Recommendation from the MEP to SBM020)**Draft mechanism methodology: Cooking energy transition**

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
8	7. Baseline scenario	Equation 1	Energy consumption for fuel type x, where x can be fuel i, j, or k Indexes i, j, and k are not explained	Explain indexes i, j, and k
9	7. Baseline scenario	127	Requirement that: "Activity participants shall consider all sources of uncertainty, including uncertainty in data (e.g., measurements), parameters (e.g., representativeness of default values), assumptions (e.g., the baseline scenario), and methods (e.g., models to quantify emission reductions). is not operational. Instruction on how to do it is missing.	Provide detailed instruction on how to quantify uncertainty in data (e.g., measurements), parameters (e.g., representativeness of default values), assumptions (e.g., the baseline scenario), and methods (e.g., models to quantify emission reductions)
10	7. Baseline scenario	131	It seems that something (maybe equation) is missing before: "Where:"	Explain to what the term "Where: " refers to.
11	7. Baseline scenario	134	"For the calendar year of the start date of the first crediting period, activity participants shall determine the downward-adjusted baseline emissions based on another approach to uncertainty" Is Step 1.2 mandatory or not? How to determine whether primary or another approach to uncertainty should be used? Where primary approach ends and the secondary begins? Note that this section uses options within options what makes it difficult to read.	Rewrite this section to make it easier to read.
12	7. Baseline scenario	Section 7.5. Application of the downward adjustment down to para 140	This section is extremely difficult to follow its logic	Make it easier to follow and understand
13	10. Non-permanence provisions	183	"appropriately labelled as such" - it is micromanagement	Delete: "appropriately labelled as such"
14	Appendix 7. Demonstration of requirement...	Appendix 10: Consistency with the Reversals Standard	Proof of the Consistency with the Reversals Standard should be a part of Cover Note but not a part of the methodology	This methodology sets standard for presentation of all future nature related methodologies. Therefore, it should avoid all unnecessary elements to be included in it. Move Consistency with the Reversals Standard chapter to Cover Note